Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00404039)

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

Towards oligosaccharide library synthesis by fluorous mixture method

Mami Tojino, Mamoru Mizuno *

Laboratory of Glyco-organic Chemistry, The Noguchi Institute, 1-8-1, Kaga, Itabashi-ku, Tokyo 173-0003, Japan

article info

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 18 June 2008 Revised 24 July 2008 Accepted 25 July 2008 Available online 29 July 2008

Keywords: Fluorous tag Oligosaccharide library Mixture synthesis

The synthesis of an oligosaccharide library by a fluorous tag method is reported here. Several acceptors and donors were mixed and glycosylated. The reaction mixture was purified by chromatography over fluorous HPLC to provide disaccharides in order of increasing fluorine content of the tag. This method could be applied to oligosaccharide libraries consisting of two sets of structural isomers. - 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Most mixture syntheses in organic chemistry are run on solid $phase$,^{[1](#page-3-0)} but solid-phase methods have problems such as low reactivity and difficulty to analyze intermediate compounds. Solutionphase mixture synthesis has favourable reaction kinetics, but this is offset by difficulties in separating target molecules. Recently, Curran and co-workers reported homogeneous fluorous solutionphase synthesis (fluorous mixture synthesis).² In this method, the use of fluorous tags and associated tag-based separation (e.g., fluorous HPLC) allows a mixture of intermediates to be analyzed and characterized, and finally to produce as individual, pure compounds. As a result, it could solve the problems of both solutionand solid-phase methods.

The development of efficient strategies for synthesizing oligosaccharides is highly desirable in order to understand the vital roles of oligosaccharides in biological phenomena.³ In this regard, oligosaccharide mixture synthesis continues to attract much attention to rapidly obtain such molecules, 4 because multi-step oligosaccharide synthesis remains very difficult and time-consuming. Here, we describe the synthesis of an oligosaccharide library by a fluorous mixture method.

The introduced fluorous tag acts as a protective group that removes chemoselectivity and is stable under various reaction conditions. We selected the 4-methoxyphenyl type of fluorous tag, which is stable under acidic, basic, reductive and oxidative reactions[.5](#page-3-0) This group can be removed readily and selectively under mild conditions by treatment with ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN) .⁶ We therefore prepared and tested p-alkoxyphenyl-type fluorous tags. The fluorous tags $4a$ (C₄F₉), $4b$ (C₆F₁₃) and $4c$ (C_8F_{17}) were readily prepared from the corresponding fluorous io-

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: mmizuno@noguchi.or.jp (M. Mizuno).

Using the series of fluorous tags, we tested oligosaccharide mixture synthesis. The tagged monosaccharides 6a–c were individually converted to the corresponding 6-OH-free monosaccharides **9–11**^{[7](#page-3-0)} in a four-step process, in which every intermediate was characterized by NMR. A mixture of three fluorous-tagged glycosyl acceptors 9, 10 and 11 (1.0 equiv each) was treated with glycosyl

Scheme 1. Synthesis of p-alkoxyphenyl-type fluorous tag.

dides 1a–c and phenol derivative 2 as shown in Scheme 1. Fluorous tag 4c was reacted with a galactose derivative 5c in the presence of BF_3 $-OEt_2$ to give galactose bearing fluorous tag $6c$ with good yield ([Table 1,](#page-1-0) run 3). Similarly, fluorous tags 4a and 4b were introduced to mannose derivative 5a and glucose derivative 5b, respectively, to obtain compounds $6a$ and $6b$ (runs 1 and 2). The p-alkoxyphenyl-type fluorous tags were easily cleaved from the monosaccharides in the presence of CAN in aq 80% MeCN (Scheme 2). The 1- OH derivative 7 was obtained 78% yield, and fluorous alcohol 8 was recovered in 64% yield.

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2008.07.137

Table 1

Introduction of p-alkoxyphenyl-type fluorous tag into monosaccharide

donor 12^7 12^7 (6.0 equiv) in the presence of TMSOTf (0.6 equiv) at -20 °C for 20 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by chromatography over fluorous HPLC (gradient of 80/20 acetonitrile/ water to 100% acetonitrile over 30 min). The result of HPLC analysis is shown in Figure 1. The compounds were eluted in the order of fluorophilicities of the tags: the first group of peaks was the solvent front including a mixture of compounds associated with glycosyl donor 12; the second peak was product 13 with the C_4F_9 tag; the third peak was product 14 with the C_6F_{13} tag and the fourth peak was product 15 with the C_8F_{17} tag. NMR identified these three products individually 8 (see Scheme 3).

Next, we tested glycosylation of 3-OH, 4-OH and 6-OH-free monosaccharides. Glycosyl acceptors ${\bf 16}^7$ ${\bf 16}^7$, ${\bf 17}^7$ and ${\bf 11}$ were mixed and treated with glycosyl donor $18⁷$ $18⁷$ $18⁷$ under glycosylation condi-

Figure 1. HPLC analysis of the glucosylation reaction mixture. Fluophase RP column (21 \times 250 mm), gradient 80% MeCN–H₂O to 100% MeCN in 30 min and then 100% MeCN. UV detection at 280 nm and flow rate 1.0 mL/min.

tions,⁹ then separated by chromatography over fluorous HPLC (Scheme 4). The result of HPLC analysis is shown in [Figure 2](#page-2-0). The compounds were eluted in order of the tag: the first group of peaks was the solvent front and included a mixture of corresponding compounds associated with glycosyl donor 18; the second peak was product 19 (α -isomer only) with the C₄F₉ tag; the third peak was product 20 (α -isomer accompanied with β -isomer) with the C_6F_{13} tag and the fourth peak was product 21 (mixture of a small amount of β -isomer and by-products along with α -isomer; α : β :by-product = 86:12:2, detected by HPLC¹⁰) with the C₈F₁₇ tag.

Scheme 2. Cleavage of p-alkoxyphenyl-type fluorous tag

Scheme 3.

Figure 2. HPLC analysis of the glucosylation reaction mixture. Fluophase RP column (21 \times 250 mm), gradient 80% MeCN–H₂O to 100% MeCN in 30 min and then 100% MeCN. UV detection at 280 nm and flow rate 1.0 mL/min.

These results ([Figs. 1 and 2\)](#page-1-0) indicated that the separation based on fluorous HPLC successfully resolved products according to the tag. The results suggest that the method may be applied to a coding method to create a complex oligosaccharide library. We propose to prepare more products in one glycosylation step by using three acceptors and two donors, to give six products. We expected that using an acceptor bearing 4, 6 or 8 fluorocarbons and a donor bearing 0 or 3 fluorocarbons would give products bearing 4, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 11 fluorocarbons, which could be easily separated by chromatography based on fluorous HPLC. Galactose acceptors bearing palkoxyphenyl fluorous tags 16 (C_4F_9), 17 (C_6F_{13}) and 11 (C_8F_{17}) and glycosyl donors with 0 fluorocarbons 18 and with 3 fluorocarbons (C_3F_7) 22 were selected as model substrates. These five monosaccharides were mixed and glycosylated, 11 then separated over fluorous HPLC as described above (Scheme 5 and Fig. 3).¹² This crude reaction mixture was divided into the six disaccharide products in one step. The products were eluted in the order of increasing total fluorine content of the tag: the first group of peaks (retention time around 4 min) was the solvent front including a mixture of compounds associated with glycosyl donor 18; the second peak (11.2 min) was compounds with the $\mathsf{C}_3\mathsf{F}_7$ tag derived from glycosyl donor $22;^{13}$ $22;^{13}$ $22;^{13}$ the third peak was product 19 with the C_4F_9 tag (α -isomer only); the fourth peak was product **20** with the C₆F₁₃ tag (α -isomer only); the fifth peak was product 23 with the C_4F_9 and C_3F_7 tags (β -isomer only); the seventh peak was product with the C_8F_{17} tag 21 (α -isomer) and a small amount of 21 (β -isomer) and by-products (α : β :by-product = 76:15:8, detected by $HPLC^{10}$); the eighth peak was product 24 with the C_6F_{13} and C_3F_7 tags (β -isomer only) and the ninth peak was product **25** with the C_8F_{17} and C_3F_7 tags (β -isomer only).

Scheme 5.

Figure 3. HPLC analysis of the glucosylation reaction mixture. Fluophase RP column (21×250 mm), 80% MeCN–H₂O in 15 min and then gradient 80% MeCN– H2O to 100% MeCN in 40 min and finally, 100% MeCN. UV detection at 280 nm and flow rate 15.0 mL/min.

In summary, we synthesized a mixture of oligosaccharides by the fluorous tag method, in which several acceptors and donors are mixed and glycosylated, then separated by chromatography over fluorous HPLC to provide the desired disaccharides. This method has the advantage of a single separation, and could be applied to oligosaccharide libraries consisting of two sets of structural isomers. The results indicate that this method is useful for oligosaccharide library synthesis.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2008.07.137](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2008.07.137).

References and notes

- 1. (a) Lam, S.; Lebl, M.; Krchnak, V. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 411; (b) Furka, A. In Conbinatorial Peptide and Non Peptide Libraries; Jung, G., Ed.; VCH: Weinheim, 1996; p 111; (c) Nicolau, K. C.; Xiao, X. Y.; Parandoosh, Z.; Senyei, A.; Nova, M. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2289.
- 2. (a) Curran, D. P.; Oderaotoshi, Y. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 5243; (b) Zang, W.; Luo, Z.; Chen, C. H.-T.; Curran, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10443; (c) Curran, D. P.; Zhang, Q.; Richard, C.; Lu, H.; Gudipati, V.; Wilcox, C. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9561.
- 3. Varki, A. Glycobiology 2006, 3, 97.
- 4. (a) Liang, R.; Yan, L.; Loebach, J.; Ge, M.; Uozumi, Y.; Sekanina, K.; Horan, N.; Gildersleeve, J.; Thompson, C.; Smith, A.; Biswas, K.; Still, W. C.; Kahone, D. Science 1996, 274, 1520; (b) Boons, G.-J.; Heskamp, B.; Hount, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1996, 35, 2079; (c) Izumi, M.; Ichikawa, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 2079; (d) Kanie, O.; Ohtsuka, I.; Ako, T.; Daikoku, S.; Kanie, Y.; Kato, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 35, 3851.
- 5. (a) Fukuyama, T.; Laird, A. A.; Hotchkiss, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 6291; (b) Petitou, M.; Duchaussoy, P.; Choay, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 1389.
6. Jacob, P., III; Callery, P. S.; Shulgin, A. T.; Castagnoli, N., Jr. *J. Org. Chem.* **1976**, 41,
- 3627. 7. These compounds were prepared as described in Supplementary data.
- 8. Compound 13: ¹H NMR (CD₃Cl, 600 MHz) δ : 2.02–2.06 (m, 2H), 2.23–2.32 (m, 2H), 3.80–3.91 (m, 4H), 3.94–4.00 (m, 3H), 4.04–4.09 (m, 1H), 4.11 (d, $J = 9.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.36–4.42 (m, 2H), 4.54–4.59 (m, 3H), 4.55 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.69 (q, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.37–5.39 (m, 1H), 5.56 (dd, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.61 (t, $J = 9.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.80 (t, $J = 9.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.91 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 2H), 7.14 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 7.21–7.35 (m, 21H), 7.36-7.43 (m, 2H), 7.44-7.49 (m, 2H), 7.81 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 4H), 7.88 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 7.98 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H). Compound **14**: ¹H NMR (CD₃Cl, 600 MHz) d: 1.98–2.01 (m, 2H), 2.16–2.28 (m, 2H), 3.38–3.42 (m, 1H), 3.53– 3.58 (m, 1H), 3.58–3.62 (m, 2H), 3.84 (dd, J = 6.2 Hz, 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.93–4.01 (m, 2H), $4.01-4.15$ (m, 1H), 4.11 (d, $J = 10.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.45 (dd, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, $J = 12.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.60 (dd, $J = 3.5$ Hz, 12.4 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d,

 $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.73 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.78 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.00 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.01 (d
J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.54 (dd, J = 7.6 Hz, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (t $J = 9.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, $J = 9.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.04 (d, $J = 9.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.14 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.21–7.38 (m, 21H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.47–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.80 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.89 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.00 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H). Compound ${\bf 15:}~^1\rm H$ NMR (CD₃Cl, 600 MHz) δ: 1.97-2.07 (m, 2H), 2.15-2.27 (m, 2H), 3.42 (dd, $J = 3.2$ Hz, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (t, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.77 (dd, $J = 4.5$ Hz, 12.1 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (dd, $J = 4.8$ Hz, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (dd, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.90-4.18 (m, 4H), 4.42 (dd, J = 4.5 Hz, 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d
J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.82 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.90 (d, $J = 11.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.96 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.98 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.48 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 5.66 (t, $J = 9.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.80 (t, $J = 9.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 2H), 7.01 (d, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 2H), 7.21-7.38 (m, 23H), 7.40-7.52 (m, 4H), 7.79 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.81 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 7.87 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 8.00 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H).

- 9. The glycosylation conditions: acceptors 11 (1.0 equiv), 16 (1.0 equiv) and 17 (1.0 equiv) treated with donor 18 (6.0 equiv) in the presence of TMSOTf $(0.6$ equiv) at $0 °C$ for 16 h.
- 10. Although these compounds were not separated by fluorous HPLC, usual silica gel HPLC gave good separation. HPLC conditions: Wakosil 5SIL (4.0 \times 15 mm), gradient 85/15 hexane/AcOEt to 80/20 hexane/AcOEt. UV detection at 280 nm; flow rate 1.0 mL/min.
- 11. The glycosylation conditions: acceptors 11 (48 mg, 48 μ mol), 16 (37 mg, 46 μ mol) and 17 (44 mg, 49 μ mol) treated with donors 18 (52 mg, 10.8 μ mol) and 22 (85 mg, 98 µmol) in the presence of TMSOTf (3.5 µL, 20 µmol) at -10 °C for 14 h.
- 12. This reaction mixture (255 mg) was obtained. An aliquot of the reaction mixture (189 mg) was separated over fluorous HPLC: peak 1 (compound 26, trace), peak 2 (compound 19, 12 mg), peak 3 (compound 20, 6 mg), peak 4 (compound 23, 16 mg), peak 5 (compound 11, 5 mg), peak 6 (compound 21, 2 mg), peak 7 (compound 24 , 22 mg) and peak 8 (compound 25 , 27 mg).
- 13. This compound was characterized as shown in the following:

